

Intelligence Briefing *"World Intelligence in One Place..."*

Today's Date: April 4, 2009

Why The World is Moving Towards Chaos...

US Awards Iran Role as Military Partner, Sells Israel Short

DEBKAFILE Exclusive Analysis April 4, 2009

Israeli chief of staff Lt. Gen. Gaby Ashkenazi

Only two weeks ago, Israel's chief of staff Lt. Gen. Gaby Ashkenazi, then visiting Washington, was denied interviews with US defense secretary Robert Gates and the chairman of the US chiefs of staff Adm. Mike Mullen. He cut short his visit after seeing national security adviser Gen. James Jones and Iran envoy Dennis Ross, lesser lights in terms of their direct influence on President Barack Obama.

Since then, the US president has decided the snub was ill-judged.

During 2008 and up until his exit from the White House, George W. Bush found Ashkenazi useful for conveying to the former prime minister Ehud Olmert and defense minister Ehud Barak his administration's strong objections to an Israeli military strike on Iran's nuclear sites. The US effort to hold Israel's hand brought Mullen and an array of top US generals calling at the chief of staff's Tel Aviv headquarters almost every ten days in the last months of 2008.

Mullen wanted to keep this effort afloat, but president Obama thought otherwise, which is why Ashkenazi was so coolly received in Washington in mid-March.

However, the inception of Binyamin Netanyahu as prime minister with Barak held over at defense occasioned a spate of declarations which worried the new administration: Netanyahu declared at his swearing-in last Tuesday that if American sanctions and diplomacy fail, Israel will be forced to take action against Iran's nuclear weapon drive and time was running out.

His words were echoed by Barak.

Obama therefore decided to revive the Ashkenazi track, while he was still abroad at the G20 in London and the NATO summit at Strasbourg, and before visiting Istanbul next week. He feared that Israel might upset his broad strategic appletart which hinges on the co-option of Iran as its primary hinge.

Ashkenazi was therefore invited to Strasbourg to carry some more bad news to his government, i.e. that the Obama administration wants Iran as its key military and intelligence partner for resolving America's Afghanistan-Pakistan (known now as "Afgpak") predicament. The shape of this alliance lacks final form; backdoor US-Iranian meetings at various levels are in progress at different venues to determine how far Tehran is willing to go. But the US president has set his course.

The high points of the proposed collaboration were first revealed exclusively by DEBKA-Weekly 390 of March 27 and 391 of April 3.

To subscribe to DEBKA-Net-Weekly click [HERE](#).

Its impact was sensed at the NATO summit in Strasbourg.

Aside from UK premier Gordon Brown, NATO leaders by and large refused the US president's appeal for more troops to fight in Afghanistan. German chancellor Angela Merkel and French President Nicolas Sarkozy told Obama there was no point in sending reinforcements to Afghanistan if US troops were on their way out, especially after Washington had opted for an "Iranian solution" for the conflict without reference to Berlin or Paris.

The Obama administration has a bitter pill for Israel to swallow for the sake of progress toward a strategic collaboration with Tehran on Afghanistan and Pakistan. It cuts close to the bone in terms of Israel's security and international standing:

1. Washington will not brook any unilateral Israeli military action that might upset US-Iranian moves towards cooperation in the Afgpak Arena.
2. Washington will apply all its resources to obstruct such action.
3. It will not be enough for Israel to stand idle as Iran develops a nuclear bomb. The Obama administration also has fish to fry with Taliban and is bent on an urgent breakthrough in Israel-Arab peacemaking for dividends relevant to this arena too.

Israel can therefore expect to be squeezed hard for sweeping concessions to Syria, Lebanon and the Palestinians in order to enhance America's hand on both these tracks.

4. This will bring Jerusalem's Arab opponents to the negotiating table with loaded dice and no bar to treating Israel as the weak party.

The tidings Ashkenazi brings back to Jerusalem from Strasbourg will not be news because Israel officials have been aware of the state of play between Obama and Tehran for some weeks. The only question is how Adm. Mullen packaged his briefing: Did he offer the Israeli chief of staff the chance of military coordination with the United States alongside its evolving pact with Iran? Or simply outline the new situation as a take-it or leave-it proposition?

When this development finally percolates through to the Israeli public, opposition leader Tzipi Livni will no doubt use the opportunity to lay it at the door of the Netanyahu-Lieberman administration as the price for backing away from the Annapolis version of a two-state solution to the Israel-Palestinian conflict.

Livni's efforts to discredit the new government will be internationally popular – but chronologically and factually untenable. The new Obama strategy and its disastrous fallout for Israel took shape while she was still foreign minister and vice premier, for one; and, furthermore, a Palestinian state is clearly defined as the end product of the phased Middle East road map, which the Netanyahu government has formally embraced.

The Annapolis initiative never took off because the ensuing Livni-Olmert talks with Palestinian leaders led nowhere.

From Shoebat.com

I am a former causer of man made disasters and now involving myself in the project of overseas contingency plans.

If I were making a speech instead of writing it I might need a teleprompter to speak the title of this article. Does anyone understand what our government is doing? For those that cannot work it out or need help in deciphering President Obama's code for the new word or words for a terrorist and the latte elitist language for "War on Terror," you just got it in the title of the article.

Our most understanding president sends a love video to Iran; I just hope he sent it in the right format so they could actually see it. The Iranians must have seen it because there were comments from the mad mullahs that were not exactly encouraging.

As a person who in my youth was steeped in terrorism and hatred we would sneer at such talk from Israelis or Americans. The current NON-politics of fear will bring death and destruction, or "man made disasters." If the truth is to be known is that our President will be the true cause of the man made disasters with the policies of appeasement that are beyond irresponsible.

As a former Jihadist (which is a word that according to our President is the "politics of fear), let me tell you what will defeat the terrorists. First we must identify the problem, which in itself is politically incorrect. The issue is not terrorism, which is the symptom but the real problem is the ideology of Islamic Fundamentalism. In the Middle East and even in America Islamic Fundamentalism is the prevalent and dominant within the Muslim world. This is what needs to be defeated or blunted heavily before we can stop the violence.

For those that do not understand the meaning of Islamic Fundamentalist as a former Muslim terrorist let me give you it exactly: "Religious conditioning taught to Muslim masses, by using illusions of misery, historic manipulation, and illusion of the virtues of a distant past by continual reflection on glory days long ago, in order to convert the masses into angry, pride filled, remorseless killers and seekers of salvation by death. The goal is to intimidate non-Muslims by fear and threats, in order to re-establish a utopian theocratic world order in which Islam and Muslims are dominant and all non-Muslims are subservient. This conditioning becomes the sole focus of both the spiritual leaders, and the followers in every aspect of their daily lives."

I experienced the Friday prayers when the Imam would spew from the pulpit the hatred of Jews, Christians and Americans, the evidence of which is all over the Internet but not on our mainstream media. Today it is a hundreds times worse than when I was a terrorist in the 1970s and early 1980s. In America there is overwhelming evidence that the same hatred is being propagated at epidemic proportions in the United States within the mosques and no one in our government is willing to speak on it let alone do something about it.

Many in our media and even some of our most conservative voices wish to believe that the problem of terrorism is based on a minority of extremists but this I tell you as a former Muslim and a former terrorist is far from the truth. When I lived in the West Bank our whole society was steeped in this hatred from the school, the mosque, the media and even in our family, this is the way it is right across the Middle East which is hidden from us by the mainstream media.

If we are to defeat the evil of Islamic Fundamentalism and the scourge of "man made caused disasters" we need to first recognize the problem as I have identified if we are even to start to defeat it.

We need to educate ourselves, recognize the deceptions that we as a society are willing to accept for the sake of political correctness but in the long term will only create an even bigger disaster if we do not begin to deal with them today. The parallel comparison is our unwillingness to deal with the Nazis until it was too late. We have the potential for twenty, thirty or more Nazi states to emerge with the dominance of Islamic Fundamentalism. Churchill was regarded as a Naziphobe, he was one of the few who sounded the alarm bells. I am an Islamaphobe can I and a few others be right and the rest of the world wrong? History says yes.

The current policies and ideas from this new presidential administration will only bring more and bigger “man caused disasters” both to our shores and to our allies.

Walid Shoebat and Keith Davies

Leader and Executive Director Walid Shoebat Foundation